

Subject: Response to Rejection of Manuscript.

Dear Editor,

We are writing to submit a revised version of my manuscript, titled "An Overview of the Declarative Programming Languages for the IoT Domain", which was recently rejected from publication in JOT. We would like to express our sincere gratitude to you and the reviewers for the careful consideration and valuable feedback provided, which has significantly contributed to improving the quality of the work.

We are pleased to inform you that we have successfully addressed the concerns raised and have made substantial improvements to the manuscript accordingly.

The two main issues raised were as follows:

1. Limited Protocol Description:

In response to this issue, we have added a new section dedicated to it, which has not only addressed the concern raised but has also enhanced the overall clarity and coherence of the manuscript.

2. Insufficient Problem Definition and Discussion:

We have overcome this concern, by taking following actions:

As the "Introduction" section was a mix of an intro plus the problem definition, we have overcome this concern, by dividing "Introduction" into 4 subsections: "The complexity of IoT", "Problem Definition", "Research goal" and "Paper organization".

We also revised the whole section 3 "Execution" of the research, improving its readability and contents. We added a new subsection ("Outcomes") to the "Discussion" section. And also improved "5.2. The methodology risks" subsection.

Additionally, we have carefully reviewed the entire manuscript and made several other minor revisions to ensure the accuracy, consistency, and logical flow of the content. All these improvements has led to a more robust and clear manuscript.

We kindly request that you consider this new and improved version for reevaluation. We believe that the revised version now meets the high standards set by JOT, and we are confident that it will make a valuable contribution to the field.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. We look forward to receiving your feedback. Please do not hesitate to contacting back if any further information or clarification is required.

Yours sincerely,

Francisco José Morero-Peyrona (on behalf of the authors)